

To Unbosom Himself.

Judge Jerry Black, who was Mr. Buchanan's Attorney General and Secretary of State after General Cass's resignation of that office, is preparing a statement, which will be made public in a few days, giving a history of the occurrences from November to the 4th of March preceding Mr. Lincoln's inauguration, concerning the action of the administration, in its endeavors to reinforce Fort Sumter, and the position which he and the late Edwin M. Stanton maintained regarding that matter. He will make known a portion of the secret history of that period, which will, of course, be interesting.

The Fifteenth Amendment.

Twenty-five States have now ratified the Fifteenth Amendment. Texas is required to do so before she will be admitted into the Union—so too will Georgia. So that but one State will remain to make the required number. Ohio probably ratified the Amendment yesterday. But New York has withdrawn its assent. If this action is recognized there must be still another State added to those ratifying the Amendment. If it becomes necessary, Tennessee can and will be taken in hand as Georgia has been, and by this means the consent of the governed will have been obtained *ad referendum* to the proposed Amendment. Hail Columbia! Land of the Free!!

The Poor Needle-Women.

It is stated that there are fifty thousand women in New York city who are attempting to keep off starvation with the little point of a needle, and whose wages do not average half a dollar a day, while many, by twelve or fourteen hours work, do not make more than twelve cents. Is not this exaggerating a little? If it be true, it is a terrible tale of suffering which should evoke the sympathies of all the benevolent-minded people of the country. The right of woman to work and her right to be paid the worth of that work is undenied; and though it may be argued by some that other so-called rights, as suffrage, &c., are necessary to secure this, yet it is certain that those most prominently urging the ballot for women are not such as we would expect to do any real work, that is to engage in any useful or practical calling. This class is of the genus politician, who would live by the labor of others.

Operations of the "Ring."

We give editorial prominence to the following article from the Raleigh Sentinel, to let our people see something of the operations of "the Ring," and to explain the reason the friends of "the Ring" voted for the Resolution instructing the Treasurer not to pay any more interest due on the "Special Tax Bonds."

Says the Sentinel: About ten days ago we were informed by a gentleman who spoke confidently on the subject, that within two weeks from that time, the Radical "Ring" men in this State would, in pursuance of a plan concocted between them and their co-adjutors in New York, take steps to repudiate the new special tax bonds of this State. That this would be done as soon as the accrued interest on bonds in the hands of certain parties was paid. The proceedings in the Legislature yesterday, verified the prediction.

On yesterday the Legislature passed a resolution instructing the Treasurer not to pay any more of the interest due on the special tax bonds. This extraordinary move is not understood by many outside of the "Ring." This is the explanation, briefly: the "Ring" have used the bonds, and can't account for them. This resolution will have the effect, and is so intended by its real authors, to put the bonds down to five or ten cents in the dollar, and now the "Ring," in need of the bonds to make up their accounts, will be able to buy up all that are needed at the depreciated price brought about by this resolution; but few of the members of the Legislature understood its purpose, or knew where it came from. Even its author did not know its design. However innocent may have been the intention of the Legislature, this resolution is the handiwork of the "Ring," to make money and to save themselves when the day of reckoning shall come.

If Littlefield and the "Ring" had sold the bonds held by the Western North Carolina Railroad Company, as they are charged with having done, at 50 or 60 cents in the dollar, and used the money for their own purposes and Florida speculations, they can buy back their bonds now at 5 or 10 cents in the dollar, and be all ready to account for the bonds they have misapplied, and realize by the operation 40 or 45 cents in the dollar on millions of the bonds.

This is "wise legislation!" At the last session they passed bills oppressively taxing the people; the money has been collected, and they now step the Treasurer from paying it out! Such legislation is worthy of Chicken Stevens, Onfies Mayo and Sleepy Downing. While the action is self-condemnation by the Legislature, it will relieve the people of a burden and the "Ring" from an unpleasant dilemma.

The "Ring" will now be able to buy and pay for the North Carolina Railroad, which is the only unfinished business before the Legislature.

Hurrah for Governor Holden and his State Printer! Hurrah for the "Ring" and the Rogues! God save the State and help a ruined people!

General Lee.

The Committee of Invitation have asked General Lee to attend the funeral of General PEABODY, and the Trustees of Washington College, over which he presides, requested him to comply with the invitation. The feeling displayed in Massachusetts, if we can judge by what we find in the New England papers by the prospect of General Lee's visit, is most gratifying. Should he attend we believe that he will be one of the most honored participants in the ceremonies. He will be re-

ceived not only as becomes the mournful occasion but with respect due to the distinguished soldier and Christian gentleman.

How much the asperities and prejudices of war could be softened, and how much good feeling engendered between the sections by displaying to the representative men of the South that spirit of kindness and consideration due from and to citizens of a common country.

Reconstruction of Massachusetts.

A petition has been forwarded to Hon. S. S. Cox, member of Congress from New York, signed by numerous citizens of Massachusetts, praying that their State be recommended to a territorial government for its treasonable course in 1842, its unrepublican denying of the right of suffrage to those who cannot read or write, and for its connection with the Hartford Convention. Mr. Cox has presented the petition to Congress.

There is no part of the Union so much needs reconstruction as New England; but as it is at present, through her home representatives and her carpet-baggers from the South, rules the country, we cannot expect to see justice meted out to her; but after the census returns of this year are received, and the sceptre passes from the Eastern States, we may expect to see that section taken in hand, for when legislation is directed for the benefit of the whole country, and not controlled in the interests of the monopolies of New England, they will be sure to kick up a fuss, forgetting that they live under the best government the world ever saw.

Term of General Assembly.

We publish elsewhere the late opinion of Chief Justice PEARSON, in which Justice DICK concurs, in regard to the tenure of office of the members of the present General Assembly. He bases his opinion that there must be an election for members of the Legislature upon substantially the same grounds advanced by the JOURNAL in the several articles which have appeared in these columns, and justifies his action in giving his opinion at the request of the General Assembly upon the precedent set in the action of the Supreme Court in the contested election case of Berry and Waddell, in 1843, to which we referred and from which we quoted.

We suppose if there was one sensible man in the State who honestly believed that under the Constitution the members of the present General Assembly continued in office until 1872, this very clear argument of Judge PEARSON would satisfy him of his error. In looking calmly and dispassionately at the two clauses of the Constitution which relate to the tenure of office of the members of the first Legislature elected thereunder, and see how repugnant they are to each other, it does seem that either Judge Tourgee says truly in declaring that one was so worded purposely to permit the members to hold over by a nicely constructed fraud, by a collusion between himself, Sweet and Heaton, or that he did it himself without conference with others. It does seem strange that an article so ambiguous and unnecessary could have honestly or accidentally gotten into the Constitution.

We do not think the letters of Justices READE, RODMAN and SETTLE need to be published. Judge READE argues to show that the Constitution forbids him to answer the question propounded by the General Assembly under the general provision that the "Legislative, Executive and Judicial departments of the Government shall be forever separate and distinct."

Justice RODMAN occupies substantially the same ground. He regards the question exclusively as a political one, and can never be made directly or indirectly a legal question, or a subject of judicial determination. He attempts to please both wings of his party by telling one that if they "do hold over, and continue to sit and enact laws, being *de facto*, in possession of the legislative power, and recognized by the Executive department of the government, I know not by what authority the Courts could refuse to obey your statutes," and we suppose if this same body should decide to hold over for twenty years, and should retain Justice RODMAN in office and pay his salary promptly, he could not possibly see how the Courts or the people could rid themselves of the usurpers. He tickles the other by telling the members to pursue that course which they may think the people intended when they were elected, and that they ought to give the people the benefit of any doubt they may have on the subject.

We have previously thought that Justices RODMAN and READE do not feel perfectly secure of their position in the Radical party. We have too high an opinion of their legal abilities to suppose that the one could write and the other approve the silly trash contained in the Homestead decision, had they not been forced to it by the "unconscious bias of pressing circumstances," so facetiously intimated by the Chief Justice in his dissenting opinion.

We notice that some Judges grow very jealous of the dignity and independence of the Bench when the welfare of their party is involved, but rush into politics under the excitement of "drums and flags" when their party is to be benefited. Justice SETTLE does not say much, but then Justice READE does not know much. He is personally interested and probably ought not to give an opinion. If the present Legislature holds over he expects Senator ASBOTT's seat, and it is expecting too much even of such a patriot as Justice SETTLE to furnish a stick to break his own head. He curtly declines to give an opinion.

"Reaping the Whirlwind."

It was hardly possible to suppose that the frauds and corruptions which have destroyed the credit of the State should not also affect that of counties and towns. Nay, too frequently similar corruptions, and in every instance equal extravagance, have disgraced the administration of Radical County Commissioners and city Mayors and Aldermen. What sane man supposes that it requires one hundred and forty thousand dollars to govern this city, if

honest, capable and trustworthy men controlled its affairs. Yet such is the sum expended last year by our city officials, bearing six per cent. interest it gold, should not command more than seventy cents in the dollar in currency. There can be no prosperity for State, county or city, until extravagance and corruption in their administrations shall cease.

It seems that Craven county has become a victim to the ignorant and dishonest Radicalism which governs it, and reaps its reward in the following manner, as we learn from the organ of Radicalism in Newbern, the Times:

"Judge Thomas discharged the jury drawn for attendance on the present term of the Superior Court, and the jury returned a verdict 'county script,' it not being negotiable. The Judge ordered a jury from the city who can serve and board as usual."

How rapidly and how well is Radicalism doing its damning work of destruction. Like the poisonous Upas, nothing flourishes under the influence of its deleterious shade.

Northern Democrats and Southern Radicals.

Upon the same day there were presented similar scenes in Albany, New York, and Atlanta, Georgia, the Capitals respectively of those two States. Similar and yet how different. In Albany the members of the General Assembly, elected by the free people of the Empire State, under laws and regulations adopted by themselves, met together as the representatives of a free people. No federal soldier was present unless as a spectator. The sword was powerless in the presence of the representatives of the civil authority. Each House is the judge of the qualifications of its own members and sits upon its own adjournment.

In Atlanta also the members of the General Assembly of the Empire State of the South met on the same day. Whites and blacks, honest men and convicted rogues, citizens and vagrants came together by the orders of a Federal General. Over the Hall in which they sat floated the "flag of the Country," not as the emblem of the "land of the free and the home of the brave," but the ensign of the military power of the government. The city resounded with the tramp of Federal soldiery, and bright bayonets bristled threateningly before the eyes of these fettered legislators. Military Commissions sit in judgment upon the qualifications of members of both Houses, and they assemble and adjourn by order of the Military Commander.

Such is Republican government under Democratic control, and such is Republican government under Radical control. One embraces the embodiment of a government resting on the consent of the governed; the other is the shadow of Tyranny and Military Despotism.

The opinions which emanate from these two representatives of Republican government are as different as was their manner of assembling and organization. From one we have the bugle-sound of freedom, which thrills and inspires the patriot; from the other the mutterings of tyrants bent upon the destruction of the rights of the people. One proclaims that the United States is and shall continue to be a government of the people; the other announces the death knell of a Representative Democracy. One speaks as the fearless representative of a free and honorable people should speak; the other blusters and brags as the ignorant and guilty tools of revolutionists and factionists are wont to do to hide their ignominy and crime. One announces its position with the truthfulness, force and intelligence which Right and Justice and Law give their devotees; the other shouts with the ignorance and rage which Wrong and Injustice and Lawlessness torture their victims.

In Georgia Military Radicalism places over the Senate for its presiding officer as the exponent of the party and power to which he owes his official position. Benjamin Conley, who under the political pupillage of Governor Bullock, the Radical Congress and President GRANT, announces by authority that "the GOVERNMENT has determined that in this Republic, which is 'not, never, was, and never can be a Democracy—that is, this Republic, REPUBLICANS SHALL RULE.'" We do not know which most to wonder at, the sublime literary excellence of the extract, its imperial impudence or its political significance. It means, if it means anything, that President GRANT and Congress, for they constitute "the Government" in the vocabulary of Radicalism, have determined that the people shall no longer control the Government; that elections, if permitted in order to go through the forms prescribed by the Constitution, shall be controlled by the Ames and Reynolds of the United States army. It means that "Republicans shall rule," peacefully if possible, but forcibly if they must.

In New York a Representative Democracy elects as the speaker of the House Mr. HITCHMAN, who, as if by intuition, replies to the imperial threat of this minion of tyranny, and every true heart in the country echoes a response to his words of patriotism and warning. It might be well for the political freebooters and highway-men, who now rule the country and threaten the very life of liberty to heed the words. Says Mr. Speaker HITCHMAN:

We find Congress centralizing in itself all the powers of the government, executive and judicial, as well as legislative; and the liberties of the people are created, destroyed, and re-created accordingly as they submit to or oppose such aggression.

The right of the State to regulate elections, and everything connected with them, was very justly regarded as a fundamental article of the powers of the government, and the danger to the liberties of the people, if the legislative authority at Washington has broken down, step by step, the powers of the Supreme Court of the United States, so that Congress has become omnipotent. Without question as to constitutionality of its acts, amendments to the constitution submitted to Congress, powers essential to the States for the maintenance of Republican government and the liberties of the people, are enforced by military authority and States are created, destroyed, and re-created accordingly as they submit to or oppose such aggression.

The plan of providing a depot within our borders, where they can be received on landing upon our shores, and where their necessary wants can be supplied, is eminently wise, and in my opinion, necessary; and I should rejoice to see a plan in successful operation calculated to develop the wealth and to promote the prosperity of the South.

of our government, perpetuate themselves in authority, and set the people at defiance. It will be one of your first duties to consider whether the State of New York will consent to this usurpation.

Abuses of the elective franchise in localities cannot continue while the power is in the hands of the people. They must and will be speedily remedied. But the surrender of the whole subject of suffrage to a centralized power at Washington, which will merely leave to the States the right of election as perpetuating them as the government, without question or redress, will never be submitted to by the people. When would the States ever desire themselves out of power? It has been said that a State cannot withdraw its consent to such an amendment once given, however inconsiderately given, even though the requisite number may not be obtained for a century. This cannot be. Let New York, when the roll of States is called, announce that she does not consent to the amendment; and let Congress count her as consenting if it dare.

HORTICULTURAL AND POMOLOGICAL.—A meeting of gentlemen desirous of forming an Horticultural and Pomological Society for this section was held in the office of the President of the First National Bank in this city yesterday afternoon. Present—Col. W. L. Steele, of Richmond; Col. T. S. Memory and Capt. J. W. Ellis, of Columbus; Col. Jno. D. Taylor, of Brunswick; Louis Froelich, of Duplin; L. A. Hart and Dr. W. W. Lane, of New Hanover.

Col. Steele was called to the Chair and Capt. Ellis requested to act as Secretary.

On motion of Col. Taylor a committee of five was appointed to draft a constitution and plan of organization. This committee was made to consist of Col. Steele, Memory and Taylor, Dr. Lane and Mr. Hart.

Each gentleman present was constituted a committee to extend an invitation to all parties interested to attend the next meeting, which is to be called by the Chairman.

On motion the meeting adjourned, after having sampled some excellent wine exhibited by Mr. Froelich.

Daily Journal 22d.

CAPE FEAR AGRICULTURAL ASSOCIATION.—At a meeting of the Executive Committee of this Association last night eleven members were present, Col. S. L. Fremont, the President, in the Chair.

The salary of the General Secretary was fixed at \$200. [The duties of this officer include those of Corresponding Secretary and General Superintendent.]

Major J. A. Engelhard was elected to this position by acclamation, on motion of Dr. S. S. Satchwell.

A special committee of three on Publication was appointed, consisting of Col. W. L. DeRoset and W. L. Smith and Dr. S. S. Satchwell. This committee have in charge the publication of a pamphlet containing the proceedings at the late Fair, meetings, essays, etc., and are to decide as to what shall be published.

Meis. J. A. Engelhard and J. C. Mann were appointed a committee to prepare a design and views for the Diploma of the Association.

The President was requested to submit at the next regular meeting a plan for the sub-division of the members of the Executive Committee for different branches of duty.

The Treasurer was authorized to borrow \$3,000 from time to time in such sums as the necessities of the Association may demand.

The Secretary was directed to request Railroad and Steamboat Companies to pass the members of the Executive Committee to and from monthly meetings.

The second Friday of each month was selected as the occasion for the regular meetings of the Committee.

The Secretary was directed to apply for the use of the Chamber of Commerce Hall for the meetings of the Committee.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Daily Journal 22d.

THE CLERKS of the Superior Courts in this State held a convention in Raleigh last week, and formed a permanent organization for the purpose of ensuring a systematic procedure in the various counties, and for mutual protection.

The following are the officers elected for the ensuing year:

President—J. C. Mann, of New Hanover.

Vice President—L. E. West, of Craven.

Treasurer—A. Clapp, of Guilford.

Secretary—G. J. Robinson, of Wayne.

Council of Administration—Messrs. Osborn, of Mecklenburg; Albright, of Alamance; Gregory, of Halifax; Summers of Iredell; and Betts, of Granville.

Letter from Gen. Lee.

My Dear Sir:—The question of supplying labor to the South is one of vital importance to the people of this country, and particularly the agricultural, and much as regular and constant work is necessary to his prosperity than in most of the other industrial pursuits. I believe this can only be secured by the introduction of a respectable class of laborers from Europe; for a class of temporary bondsmen might be derived from importation of the Chinese and Japanese, it would result, I fear, in eventual injury to the country and her institutions. We not only want reliable laborers, but good citizens, whose interests and feelings would be in unison with ours.

State immigration societies, composed of men prompted by the patriotic motive of benefiting the country, would accomplish this object better than by any other mode. By introducing worthy immigrants, providing for their comfort and security on arrival, and settling them when necessary for the new home, we can secure a supply of honest, steady, willing men who would soon be secured.

I believe experience has proved that the practice of employing entire families produces more contentment and permanency among them, and where a number are collected into a community, and neighboring farms they are better satisfied and give greater satisfaction.

I am glad to find that this important subject is claiming your attention, and I hope that the Virginia Immigration Society may be able to adopt a plan for procuring for the State and such others may co-operate with her, a regular supply of hardy, healthy immigrants.

Your plan of providing a depot within our borders, where they can be received on landing upon our shores, and where their necessary wants can be supplied, is eminently wise, and in my opinion, necessary; and I should rejoice to see a plan in successful operation calculated to develop the wealth and to promote the prosperity of the South.

I have been and still am an advocate of European immigration, but do not see in the plan of the Virginia Immigration Society any objection to the plan of procuring for the State and such others may co-operate with her, a regular supply of hardy, healthy immigrants.

With much regard, your obedient servant,
R. E. LEE.

TERM OF GENERAL ASSEMBLY.

OPINION OF CHIEF JUSTICE PEARSON.

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,
SUPREME COURT,
Raleigh, Jan. 10th, 1870.

To the Honorable Tol. R. Caldwell, Lieutenant Governor, ex officio President of the Senate, and the Honorable Jo. W. Holden, Speaker of the House of Representatives:

The joint resolution of the Senate and House of Representatives, requesting the Justices of the Supreme Court, in substance, to give their opinions as to the terms of the present members of the General Assembly, that, according to the provisions of the Constitution they hold their seats until the first Thursday in August 1872, or are other members, to be elected on the first Thursday in August 1870?—has received full consideration.

The question is more easily solved now, when it can be treated as a matter of constitutional law, than it might be hereafter when complicated with collateral considerations. Should the General Assembly, for the two years referred to, be composed of new members, and it turns out that the body ought to have been composed of the present members, the confusion so is of importance to have the matter settled at the outset.

A preliminary question presented itself—do the constitutional duties of the Justices forbid a compliance with this request of the General Assembly?

Relieved from all doubt by the precedent in the matter of Waddell vs. Berry 9 Iredell's reports, appendix—which is in point. There the right to a seat in the Senate was contested and the Justices of the Supreme Court, at the request of the Senate, expressed opinions not as a Court but as Judges, as to the right of certain citizens to vote, and this section of the Judges is put on the ground that the main purpose being to determine the right to a seat in the Senate, although "not an act of official obligation the Judges deemed it a duty of courtesy and respect" to comply with the request of the Senate.

The action of the Judges of the Supreme Court in giving an opinion on the meaning of the word "crime," at the request of Governor Worth is also a precedent in point. (See "In the matter of Hughes, Phillips' Reports," 64.) The action of the Justices of this Court in declining to express an opinion, at the request of the General Assembly, in regard to an alleged Act, affecting public debts, is not relevant to the subject now under consideration, for it is put on the ground that the question involved "the rights of property," and "would in all probability come before the Court for decision," and the Justices were of opinion that to do so would be to encroach upon their constitutional duties did not permit them to prejudge it.

The Constitution fixes the terms of office as a general and fundamental principle—that is to say—of the Governor four years—of the Justices of the Supreme Court, (I confine myself to these to avoid prolixity) eight years—of the members of the General Assembly two years. The Constitution makes an exception to this general principle, and assumes the burden of proving it. 1. In regard to the Governor, Justices and members, elected at the first election, a reason appears on the face of the instrument for making an exception to the extent of adding six months to the beginning of the term. This election was ordained to be held "when the vote shall be taken on the ratification of the Constitution," which would be more than two years before the first regular election, and it was necessary to add some time to the beginning of these terms, to make the subsequent terms fit in and run on smoothly. So this exception is admitted.

2. In regard to the Governor, a reason appears on the face of the instrument, for also adding from August to the first day of January next ensuing, to the end of this term, to make the subsequent terms fit in and run on smoothly. So this exception is admitted.

3. In regard to the Justices of the Supreme Court, no reason appears on the face of the instrument for making an exception by also adding two years to the end of their terms—and in the absence of a reason, it will require words so plain and positive as to admit of no other reasonable construction, to have that effect—Article 4, section 32, of the Constitution, is relied on:

"The officers elected at the first election held under this Constitution shall hold their terms respectively for the times prescribed by the terms prescribed by the next regular election for members of the General Assembly. But their terms shall begin upon the approval of this Constitution by the Congress of the United States."

The next regular election for members of the General Assembly is to be held on the first Thursday in August, 1870—Article II, section 29. So that the Justices to hold their offices for the terms prescribed for them respectively, "next ensuing after" that date. These words are plain and positive and admit of no other construction. There is no other section which contains words which can controvert this construction, and it will be observed that wording differs very materially from that in respect to the members of the General Assembly. I am led to this conclusion, although no reason for adding to the term appears on the face of the Constitution, simply because it is so written. This is the only section which has any words in judgment, in support of the position that some time is also to be added to the end of the terms of the members of the General Assembly elected at the first election, and a full and candid exposition of my conclusion upon that subject, made a reference to this necessary and proper.

In regard to the members of the General Assembly, no reason appears on the face of the instrument for making an exception by also adding two years to the end of their terms, in fact, giving them two terms instead of one, and in the absence of a reason, the question is narrowed down to this: Does the Constitution use the words so plain and positive as to admit of no other reasonable construction? The *onus* is on those who allege an exception, and the burden is made heavier (as we shall see) by a provision in direct opposition to a double term.

To sustain the exception the second clause of section 27, of Article II, is relied on. It is in these words:

SEC. 27. The terms of office for Senators and members of the House of Representatives shall commence at the time of their election; and the term of office of those elected at the first election held under this Constitution shall terminate at the same time as if they had been elected, as the first ensuing regular election."

The words of the second clause are general and vague; and to make sense of it, the rules of construction must be resorted to. "First ensuing regular election"—*ensuing* what date? Is it the date of the Constitution, or the first election of members of the General Assembly? It is not necessary to say which, as both took place on the day,

"Election" of whom? of members of the General Assembly—that being the subject on hand, this clause should read:

The term of office of those elected at the first election held under this Constitution shall terminate at the same time as if they had been elected at the first regular election of members of the General Assembly, *ensuing the adoption of this Constitution.* Filling up the sense in this way, the meaning turns on the word "election." An election is the act of choosing, and taking the word literally new members are to be actually elected at the first regular election ensuing the adoption of the Constitution, at which time by a fiction the members before elected are to be considered as having been elected. And the effect of this election of new members, according to the first clause of this section, "the terms of office of those elected at the first election," will be to put an end to the term of the first set of members the very instant they are, by a fiction, supposed to be elected. This election having answered the purpose of adding a few months to the beginning of their terms.

This is clearly the result of a literal construction. To meet the difficulty, it is said the word "election" is not to be taken literally, but to imply a meeting of new members, but, by a fair construction as having reference only to the regular time holding the ensuing election, which, however, is not actually to be held, and it ought to be read "first ensuing election day." There is nothing whatever to support this position. The Constitution taken by itself, does not sustain an exception to the general principle, and looking at other sections the construction contended for is not only unsupported, but is excluded, for (passing by the 28th section, which has no relation to the present question, and is the 29th section provided):

"SEC. 29. The election for members of the General Assembly shall be held for the respective districts and counties at the places where they are now held, or may be directed hereafter to be held, in such manner as may be prescribed by law, on the first Thursday in August in the year one thousand eight hundred and seventy, and every two years thereafter. But the General Assembly may change the time of holding the elections. The first election shall be held when the vote shall be taken on the ratification of the Constitution by the voters of the State, and the General Assembly then elected shall meet on the fifteenth day after the approval thereof by the Congress of the United States, if it fall not on Sunday, but if it shall so fall, then on the next day thereafter; and the members then elected shall hold their offices until their successors are elected at a regular election."

Here it is ordained that an election for members of the General Assembly shall be held at the place and in the manner prescribed by law, *on the first Thursday in August, 1870.* The words are plain and positive, there is no room for construction. An election for members of the General Assembly must be held on that day, or else the Constitution will be violated. This is a stubborn fact. It presents an insurmountable obstacle to the construction giving a double term. A double term would require an election in August, 1870. If the present members are to hold over, there will be two sets of members for the same term!! The only mode of escape from this absurdity is either to follow a literal construction of the second clause of the 27th section, by which the members then elected shall hold their offices until the time of the election of a new set of members, or else to treat it as surplusage—being ambiguous, unnecessary, (for the last clause of sec. 29 covers the same ground,) and conflicting with the 29th section, which is expressed in plain and positive words, and of vital importance, as containing the provisions necessary to put in operation the Legislative branch of the government—and is complete of itself, and needs no aid from the 27th section. I am of opinion that a true construction of the Constitution, in the terms of the present act of the General Assembly terminate on the 1st Thursday in August, 1870. Such would be the construction, if it were proved, supposing the evidence admissible, that it was the intention of the makers of the Constitution to give the members elected at the first election, a double term.

"In putting a construction upon an instrument, the question for the Court is, not what the draftsman means, but what the words of the instrument mean.—It sometimes happens, for this reason, that the draftsman is less to be relied on than the words of the instrument. The question, therefore, whether it be a Constitution, statute, deed, or will." McAdoo vs. Benbow, 63 N. C. Reports, 464.

There is another question, which, although not covered by the words of the resolution, grows out of it, and is so intimated by the words of the resolution, that the question proposed as to call for the expression of an opinion, in order to cover the whole ground.

The 29th section, article 23, has this clause: "But the General Assembly may change the time of holding the elections." Does this confer power to change the time in respect to the first election, or the months of years which are fixed by the Constitution—or to confer power, as well as to change the years in which elections for members of the General Assembly are to be held?

The former is the true construction: 1. It commences with the clause next preceding, which requires an election to be held on the first Thursday in August, 1870, and "every two years thereafter," and with sections 3 and 6—Senators and members of the House of Representatives shall be elected biennially chosen by ballot.

2. The connection in which this clause is inserted restricts its meaning, and shows that it is confined to mere matter of detail—the places or manner of holding elections, as by one, two or three Judges, and the like details.

3. It is assumed in the next preceding clause that the General Assembly has power to prescribe the manner of holding elections, but this does not extend to the manner of voting *via voce*; for voting by ballot is a fixed principle of the Constitution, and the power to direct elections to be held annually or every five or ten years, instead of every two years, is as clearly excluded as the power to prescribe the manner of voting *via voce*.

4. Under this broad construction, the present members of the General Assembly, if so minded, might put off the time of the election for ten, twenty or thirty years, and the Constitution would be violated, as the members would hold their seats as long as it is their pleasure to do so!! Any—look-

ing at the proposition naked, can see its fallacy. The power must be restricted to the day or month, treating the years as fixed by the Constitution, or else it is unlimited. There is no middle ground. This is the restriction put on members of the General Assembly; but the present members are more restricted. I do not believe they have the power to change the day, or the month, or the year, for it is written in the Constitution—"the election for members of the General Assembly shall be held on the first Thursday in August, 1870."

Respectfully,

I concur in the opinion of Chief Justice Pearson.

Respectfully,

ROBT. P. DICK.

New County Movement.

POINT CASWELL, N. C., Jan. 17, 1870.

Dear Journal:—The monotony of our quiet village was broken on Saturday last by the assembling together of the prominent citizens of this section for the purpose of considering the practicability of petitioning the Legislature to cause the division of New Hanover county and establish a new county from the following townships, viz: Holly, Holden, Caswell, Columbia, Franklin, Lincoln and Union.

Mr. E. A. Hanes was called to the Chair and Mr. John Moore acted as Secretary.

The object of the meeting was stated to be to institute measures necessary for accomplishing the division of New Hanover county.

Dr. Myers, of Lillington, the starter and master spirit in this movement, addressed the meeting in a most effective speech. His arguments were unanswerable, clear, logical and truthful. He was followed by Dr. Jas. F. Simpson of Caswell, who advocated the new enterprise in his usual vein, replete with argument, &c. Lawyer Fraser made a masterly speech favoring the division, and caused the ground to fairly ring with denunciations of all opponents.

On motion the meeting adjourned, agreeable to a call in Franklin township on January 20th.

The meeting displayed the most earnest interest in the matter and a full determination to carry the enterprise through.

On motion the meeting adjourned, agreeable to a call in Franklin township on January 20th.

INauguration of Governor Geary, of Pennsylvania.

HARRISBURG, Jan. 18.—John W. Geary was inaugurated Governor of Pennsylvania to-day. He made a long address. There was a grand procession of the military, the fire companies, &c. Because a colored regiment was allowed in the line, all the fire companies left except two from Philadelphia.

Election in Middletown, Conn.

MIDDLETOWN, Ct., Jan. 17.—At our city election yesterday the Democrats elected their candidate for Mayor and a large majority of the city Council for the first time since 1861. They also elected their candidate for Sheriff, Assessor, Collector, and all the other offices of the city. Samuel Babcock, for Mayor, had 65 majority.

De